Customer-Reported Service Failures as External Signals of Potential Internal Control Weaknesses: A COSO ICIF 2013-Based Analysis of the Retail Revenue Cycle

Tuesday, November 18 2026

Nadine Amalia Dewi

Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Indonesia

Start Now

Research Problem, Gap & Research Questions

The study uses an outside-in perspective to connect customer experience with internal-control analysis.

Research problem

Recurring customer-reported service failures may indicate problems in information accuracy, process handoffs, transaction processing and post-transaction resolution.

Research gap

Recurring customer-reported service failures may indicate problems in information accuracy, process handoffs, transaction processing and post-transaction resolution.

Analytical position

Customer reviews are not treated as direct proof of control deficiency. They are signals that are triangulated with interview evidence and interpreted using COSO ICIF 2013.

RQ1 How can patterns of customer-reported service failures in Aplikasi X be mapped to the stages of the revenue cycle?

RQ2 How do service-failure patterns indicate potential internal-control weaknesses in the revenue cycle based on the principles of the COSO Internal Control–Integrated Framework?

RQ3 What control improvements are needed to reduce the risk of recurring failures in the revenue cycle?

Research Objectives & Analytical Framework

One primary method answers all three RQs: thematic analysis.

RQ1 / Objective 1

Identify recurring service-failure themes and map each theme to the relevant stage of the revenue cycle.

RQ2 / Objective 2

Identify recurring service-failure themes and map each theme to the relevant stage of the revenue cycle.

RQ3 / Objective 3

Translate identified potential control weaknesses into practical control-improvement recommendations.

Analytical Chain



Methodology

Qualitative single-case study with thematic analysis and triangulation

Case & unit of analysis

Case: Aplikasi X / retail revenue cycle.

Unit of analysis: individual customer reviews describing a service failure or service experience relevant to the revenue cycle.

Evidence

Customer reviews as external signals, supported by semi-structured interviews with operational informants such as store, cashier and courier roles.

Analytical lens

Thematic analysis → failure mechanism → revenue-cycle stage → potential control signal → COSO ICIF 2013.

Thematic Analysis

01 Organize

Clean and organize review + interview evidence

02 Code

Assign descriptive codes to observed service failures

03 Categorize

Group related codes into broader categories

04 Develop themes

Identify recurring patterns across the evidence

05 Interpret

Map themes to revenue-cycle stages and COSO principles

View More

RQ1 — Mapping Customer-Reported Service Failures

What recurring patterns appear, and at which revenue-cycle stages do they occur?

01

Information mismatch

Stock availability, price/promotion and transaction information may not match the customer's actual experience.

02

Transaction disruption

Payment may fail after deduction, or an order may be cancelled after appearing ready to proceed.

03

Delivery delay

Items may not be ready when the courier arrives, or delivery-status coordination may be delayed.

04

Refund uncertainty

Customers report unclear refund status, delayed resolution or lack of follow-up after cancellation.

RQ1 ANSWER

Service failures cluster around information accuracy, transaction processing, delivery coordination, and cancellation/refund resolution across the revenue cycle.

RQ1 — Mapping the Themes to the Revenue Cycle

The same customer complaint can reveal a failure mechanism at a specific process stage.

Revenue-cycle stage	Observed service-failure pattern	Failure mechanism / process implication
Sales order entry	Stock / price information mismatch	Information is not synchronized with the customer-facing transaction.
Transaction & payment	Payment failure after deduction	Payment status and transaction status may not be aligned in time.
Preparation & delivery	Order not ready / delivery delayed	Readiness, handover and delivery-status coordination may break down.
Cancellation & refund	Refund delayed / unclear	Ownership, status visibility and escalation may be insufficient.

RQ2 — Potential Internal-Control Weaknesses

How do the patterns indicate potential weaknesses under COSO ICIF 2013?

Information mismatch	Stock can differ from app information; physical checking before handover is important.	**Control Activities + Information & Communication**
Delivery delay	Items may not be ready for courier arrival; status changes may not reach the relevant party.	**Control Activities + Information & Communication**
Refund uncertainty	Cancellation/refund may require authorized action; unclear escalation can prolong resolution.	**Control Activities + Monitoring Activities**
Payment issue	Customer may report successful deduction while the transaction later fails.	**Control Activities + Information & Communication**

RQ2 answer:
the patterns indicate potential weaknesses mainly in control activities, information & communication, and monitoring—especially around information accuracy, handoffs, escalation and follow-up.

Discussion — Why Can Failures Recur?

The central issue is not necessarily the absence of controls, but discontinuity around them.

INFORMATION

complete • timely • consistent

Customers experience failures when information visible at one point in the process does not reflect the latest operational condition.

PROCESS

connected • documented • owned

Handoffs between store, cashier, courier and customer-service processes can create control discontinuity when responsibilities are unclear.

MONITORING

formal • recurring • corrective

Operational follow-up may resolve individual complaints without systematically identifying recurring patterns and control deficiencies.

Customer-reported service failures can therefore function as an **OUTSIDE-IN SIGNAL** for where control continuity should be investigated.

RQ3 — Recommended Control Improvements

Recommendations are derived directly from the identified failure mechanisms and potential control signals.

01 **Synchronize information**

Validate stock, price/promotion and order status before customer-facing confirmation.

02 **Strengthen readiness**

Confirm item readiness and handover status before courier arrival / dispatch.

03 **Formalize refund**

Define refund ownership, escalation path, status tracking and service-level targets.

04 **Improve communication**

Ensure exceptions and status changes reach the responsible party promptly.

05 **Monitor recurrence**

Use recurring service-failure patterns as exception indicators and trigger corrective action.

RQ3 answer:

strengthen validation, handoffs, refund ownership, communication and recurring-failure monitoring.

Conclusion — Three RQs, One Analytical Story

The findings move from pattern identification → control interpretation → improvement.

RQ1 — **What failures recur?**

Four recurring themes were identified and mapped to revenue-cycle stages: information mismatch, transaction disruption, delivery delay, and refund uncertainty.

RQ2 — **What do they indicate?**

Triangulated evidence suggests potential weaknesses in control activities, information & communication, and monitoring—without treating reviews as direct proof of deficiency.

RQ3 — **What should improve?**

Priorities are stronger information validation, readiness and handoff controls, refund ownership, internal communication, and monitoring of recurring failures.

Customer reviews ≠ proof of deficiency. They are external signals for targeted control investigation and improvement.

Limitations & Future Research

The study is designed to identify signals and potential weaknesses—not establish causality for every incident.

Limitations

- Customer reviews reflect perceived experience and may contain incomplete information.
- Interview evidence depends on informant access and recall.
- The single-case design limits generalization.
- Relationships between service failures and control weaknesses do not establish causality for every incident.

Future research

- Compare multiple retail platforms or business units.
- Combine qualitative findings with larger-scale review datasets.
- Examine recurring-failure monitoring over time.
- Test whether identified signals predict operational or financial consequences.

Contribution

Connects three evidence layers:

Customer-reported experience
↓
Revenue-cycle process / failure mechanism
↓
COSO-based potential control signal

This extends internal-control analysis toward an outside-in perspective.

Contribution: using customer-reported failures as an early-warning signal for where internal-control continuity may need further investigation.

Thank You